EXHIBIT J

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Norfolk Division

CDI	TIC	MIC
$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{L}$	UD,	INC.,

Civil Action No. 2:09-CV-232-HCM-TEM

Plaintiff,

v.

PERFECT COMMERCE, INC., SCIQUEST, INC., LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC., and VERIAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendants.

DEFENDANT LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.'S RESPONSES TO EPLUS, INC'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 1-14)

Pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant Lawson Software, Inc., ("Lawson") hereby responds to Plaintiff ePlus, Inc.'s ("ePlus") First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-14) as set forth below.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. Lawson generally objects to ePlus's interrogatories to the extent they seek to impose upon Lawson any obligations different from, or in addition to, those obligations imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Civil Local Rules. Lawson's responses shall be controlled by the requirements imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence or the applicable Local Rules.

DB2/21267605.1 1

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

State in detail all facts and contentions that support or refute Lawson's allegations, if any, that any of the patents in suit are invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 or 103, identifying all prior patents, literature, publications, systems, processes, or devices, including prior knowledge, public uses, sales and offers for sale, that Lawson contends, either alone or in combination, invalidate one or more claims of any of the patents in suit, through a claim chart that identifies each element of each claim of the patent(s) asserted to be invalid and explains where each element of the respective claim is shown in such prior patent, literature, publications, system, process, device, public use, sale, or offer for sale.

OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Lawson objects to Interrogatory No. 4 on all the grounds set forth in its General Objections and incorporates these objections as if set forth in full herein. Lawson further objects that this interrogatory is vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome and compound. Lawson further objects to this interrogatory as a premature contention interrogatory, and an improper attempt to take expert discovery.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Lawson responds that the patents-in-suit are either anticipated or rendered obvious by at least one or more of the following prior art references and systems:

U.S. Patent No. 5,319,542

U.S. Patent No. 5,694,551

U.S. Patent No. 6,712,989

U.S. Patent No. 5,361,199

U.S. Patent No. 5,666,493

U.S. Patent No. 4,992,940

U.S. Patent No. 5,402,336

TV/2 General Information Manual

DB2/21267605.1

The Electronic Catalog Market: Status and Directions, (c) 1994 by INPUT Electronic Commerce: Comprehensive Market Assessment, (c) 1992 by INPUT J-CON

SABRE

P.O. Writer

GATEWAY/2000 or GATEWAY/DOS

Lawson Software

R/2

R/3

Movex

Mapics

REALITY

RIMS

TV/2

Nova DOS

Matkon

APS DOS

Condor

CARMS

Triad (CCI)

PMX-2

FAST

Palmas Purchasing+

EZ MRP

PurchasePro

StockPro

ASAP (Baxter)

COACT+ (J&J)

SPEC 2000

IDB 2000

APOLLO

In addition, Lawson believes that relevant prior art exists at the following companies:

International Business Machines Corporation Lockheed Martin Corporation McKesson Corporation

In addition, for additional information responsive to this interrogatory, ePlus may refer to documents produced by Lawson relating to Reexamination Control Nos. 90/008,104 and 95/000,487.

DB2/21267605.1 14

Lawson reserves the right to supplement its response to this interrogatory, where appropriate, in accordance with its continuing investigation into these matters.

DB2/21267605.1 15

Dated: August 24, 2009

Stephen B. Noona (VSB No. 25367)

KAUFMAN & CANOLES, P.C. 150 West Main Street, Suite 2100

Norfolk, VA 23510

Telephone: (757) 624-3000 Facsimile: (757) 624-3169

senoona@kaufcan.com

Counsel for Defendant Lawson Software, Inc.

Daniel Johnson, Jr. (admitted pro hac vice)
Rita E. Tautkus (admitted pro hac vice)
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, CA 94105-1596
Telephone: (415) 442-1000

Facsimile: (415) 442-1001 djjohnson@morganlewis.com rtautkus@morganlewis.com

Robert W. Busby, Jr.
(VSB No. 41312)
Bradford A. Cangro (admitted pro hac vice)
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004-2541
Telephone: (202) 739-3000
Facsimile: (202) 739-3001

rbusby@morganlewis.com bcangro@morganlewis.com

Counsel for Defendant Lawson Software, Inc.

As to the foregoing responses:

VERIFICATION

I, Dale A. Christopherson herein state that:

- I am authorized to execute this Verification on behalf of Lawson Software, Inc.
 ("Lawson").
- I have read Lawson's Responses to ePlus, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories (Nos.
 1-14) and am familiar with its contents. I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the answers set forth in that document are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Dated: 08/24/2009

Dale A. Christopherson